# Decidability and complexity via mosaics of the temporal logic of the lexicographic products of unbounded dense linear orders

Philippe Balbiani\* and Szabolcs Mikulás\*\*

\*Institut de recherche en informatique de Toulouse CNRS — University of Toulouse \*\*Department of Computer Science and Information Systems Birbeck — University of London

#### Overview

- 1. Asynchronous product of normal modal logics
- 2. Lexicographic product of normal modal logics
- 3. Limited hyperreals
- 4. Lexicographic product of linear temporal logics
- 5. Axiomatization/completeness
- 6. Decidability/complexity
- 7. Conclusion and open problems

## Asynchronous product of normal modal logics

#### Asynchronous product of relational structures

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1), \mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 imes \mathcal{F}_2 = (\mathit{W}, \mathit{S}_1, \mathit{S}_2)$  where
  - $V W = W_1 \times W_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_1(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1R_1y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_2(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2R_2y_2$

**Proposition:** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (W, S_1, S_2)$  be countable and such that

- $\blacktriangleright S_1 \circ S_2 = S_2 \circ S_1$
- $\triangleright S_2^{-1} \circ S_1 \subseteq S_1 \circ S_2^{-1}$

There exists  $\mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bounded morphic image of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ 

## Asynchronous product of normal modal logics

#### Asynchronous product of relational structures

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1), \mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$
- $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 = (W, S_1, S_2)$  where
  - $V W = W_1 \times W_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_1(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1R_1y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_2(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2R_2y_2$

#### Combining normal modal logics: asynchronous product

▶ 
$$L_1 \times L_2 = Log\{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{F}_1 \models L_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_2 \models L_2\}$$

## Asynchronous product of normal modal logics

#### **Product matching normal modal logics**

▶  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are ×-product matching iff  $L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \Diamond_1 \Diamond_2 p \leftrightarrow \Diamond_2 \Diamond_1 p \otimes \Diamond_1 \Box_2 p \rightarrow \Box_2 \Diamond_1 p$ 

**Proposition:** Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be normal modal logics from the following list

► *K*, *D*, *T*, *K*4, *D*4, *S*4, *K*45, *KD*45, *S*5 *L*<sub>1</sub> and *L*<sub>2</sub> are ×-product matching



## Lexicographic product of normal modal logics

#### Lexicographic product of relational structures

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1), \, \mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$
- $\mathcal{F}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{F}_2 = (W, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$  where
  - $V = W_1 \times W_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_1(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1R_1y_1$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_2(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2R_2y_2$

**Proposition:** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (W, S_1, S_2)$  be countable, reflexive and such that

- $ightharpoonup S_1 \circ S_2 \subseteq S_1$
- $\triangleright$   $S_2 \circ S_1 \subseteq S_1$
- $\triangleright S_2^{-1} \circ S_1 \subseteq S_1$

There exists  $\mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bounded morphic image of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{F}_2$ 



## Lexicographic product of normal modal logics

#### Lexicographic product of relational structures

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1), \mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}_1 
  hd \mathcal{F}_2 = (W, S_1, S_2)$  where
  - $V W = W_1 \times W_2$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_1(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1R_1y_1$
  - $(x_1, x_2)S_2(y_1, y_2)$  iff  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2R_2y_2$

#### Combining normal modal logics: lexicographic product

▶  $L_1 \triangleright L_2 = Log\{\mathcal{F}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{F}_1 \models L_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_2 \models L_2\}$ 



## Lexicographic product of normal modal logics

#### Product matching normal modal logics

▶  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are  $\triangleright$ -product matching iff  $L_1 \triangleright L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \lozenge_1 \lozenge_2 p \rightarrow \lozenge_1 p \otimes \lozenge_2 \lozenge_1 p \rightarrow \lozenge_1 p \otimes \lozenge_1 p \rightarrow \square_2 \lozenge_1 p$ 

**Proposition:** Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be normal modal logics from the following list

▶ T, S4, S5

 $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are  $\triangleright$ -product matching

## Limited hyperreals

#### Hyperreals: $(Hy, <_1, <_2)$

- Hy is the set of all limited hyperreals
- $\triangleright$   $x <_1 y$  iff x < y and y x is not infinitesimal
- $ightharpoonup x <_2 y ext{ iff } x < y ext{ and } y x ext{ is infinitesimal}$

**Proposition:**  $(Hy,<_1,<_2)$  is elementary equivalent to  $(\mathbb{R},<) \triangleright (\mathbb{R},<)$ 

## Limited hyperreals

#### Hyperreals: $(Hy, <_1, <_2)$

- Hy is the set of all limited hyperreals
- ▶  $x <_1 y$  iff x < y and y x is not infinitesimal
- ▶  $x <_2 y$  iff x < y and y x is infinitesimal

#### A first order theory: HY

- $\triangleright \forall x \ x \not<_i x$

- ▶  $\forall x \ \forall y \ (\exists z \ (x <_i z \land z <_j y) \rightarrow x <_k y) \text{ where } k = \min\{i, j\}$
- ▶  $\forall x \ \forall y \ (x <_k y \rightarrow \exists z \ (x <_i z \land z <_j y)) \text{ where } k = \min\{i, j\}$
- $\forall x \ \forall y (x = y \lor x <_1 y \lor x <_2 y \lor y <_1 x \lor y <_2 x)$

## Limited hyperreals

### Hyperreals: $(Hy, <_1, <_2)$

- Hy is the set of all limited hyperreals
- $\triangleright$   $x <_1 y$  iff x < y and y x is not infinitesimal
- ▶  $x <_2 y$  iff x < y and y x is infinitesimal

#### **Proposition:** The first-order theory *HY* is

- countably categorical
- not categorical in any uncountable power
- maximal consistent
- complete with respect to the lexicographic product of any dense linear orders without endpoints
- PSPACE-complete

## Lexicographic product of linear temporal logics

#### **Syntax**

- $ightharpoonup F_i\phi ::= \neg G_i \neg \phi, F\phi ::= F_1\phi \vee F_2\phi$
- $P_i\phi ::= \neg H_i \neg \phi, P\phi ::= P_1\phi \vee P_2\phi$

#### Intuitive reading

- ▶  $G_1\phi$ : " $\phi$  will be true at each instant within the future of but not infinitely close to the present instant"
- ▶  $G_2\phi$ : " $\phi$  will be true at each instant within the future of and infinitely close to the present instant"
- ►  $H_1\phi$ : " $\phi$  has been true at each instant within the past of but not infinitely close to the present instant"
- ►  $H_2\phi$ : " $\phi$  has been true at each instant within the past of and infinitely close to the present instant"



## Lexicographic product of linear temporal logics

#### **Semantics**

- ▶ Frames: lexicographic products  $\mathcal{F}_1 \triangleright \mathcal{F}_2 = (W, S_1, S_2)$  of two dense linear orders  $\mathcal{F}_1 = (W_1, R_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = (W_2, R_2)$  without endpoints
- ▶ Models:  $\mathcal{M} = (W, S_1, S_2, V)$  where  $V : p \mapsto V(p) \subseteq W$

#### **Truth conditions**

- ▶  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) \models p$  iff  $(x_1, x_2) \in V(p)$
- $\mathcal{M}, (x_1, x_2) \models G_i \phi \text{ iff for all } (y_1, y_2) \in W, \text{ if } (x_1, x_2) S_i(y_1, y_2), \\ \mathcal{M}, (y_1, y_2) \models \phi$
- $\mathcal{M}, (x_1, x_2) \models H_i \phi \text{ iff for all } (y_1, y_2) \in W, \text{ if } (y_1, y_2) S_i(x_1, x_2), \\ \mathcal{M}, (y_1, y_2) \models \phi$

## Lexicographic product of linear temporal logics

#### **Examples of valid formulas**

- $ightharpoonup F_i \top$
- ▶  $F_i F_j \phi \rightarrow F_k \phi$  where  $k = \min\{i, j\}$
- ▶  $F_k \phi \rightarrow F_i F_j \phi$  where  $k = \min\{i, j\}$
- $\blacktriangleright F_1 \phi \wedge F_1 \psi \to F_1(\phi \wedge \psi) \vee F_1(\phi \wedge F\psi) \vee F_1(\psi \wedge F\phi)$
- $F_1 \phi \wedge F_2 \psi \to F_2 (\psi \wedge F_1 \phi)$
- $F_2\phi \wedge F_1\psi \to F_2(\phi \wedge F_1\psi)$
- $\blacktriangleright F_2\phi \land F_2\psi \to F_2(\phi \land \psi) \lor F_2(\phi \land F_2\psi) \lor F_2(\psi \land F_2\phi)$

## Axiomatization/completeness

**Axiomatization:** Let *HTL* be the least temporal logic in our language that contains the following formulas and their mirror images as proper axioms

- $ightharpoonup F_i \top$
- ▶  $F_i F_j p \rightarrow F_k p$  where  $k = \min\{i, j\}$
- ▶  $F_k p \rightarrow F_i F_j p$  where  $k = \min\{i, j\}$
- $\blacktriangleright F_1p \land F_1q \to F_1(p \land q) \lor F_1(p \land Fq) \lor F_1(q \land Fp)$
- $\blacktriangleright F_1p \land F_2q \to F_2(q \land F_1p)$
- $\blacktriangleright F_2p \land F_1q \to F_2(p \land F_1q)$
- $\blacktriangleright F_2p \land F_2q \rightarrow F_2(p \land q) \lor F_2(p \land F_2q) \lor F_2(q \land F_2p)$

## Axiomatization/completeness

#### Completeness:

- First, remark that all axioms are Sahlqvist formulas
- Second, by Sahlqvist completeness theorem and Löwenheim-Skolem theorem for modal models, infer that every consistent formula is satisfied in a countable model verifying the Sahlqvist conditions corresponding to the axioms (countable prestandard model)
- ► Third, prove that every countable prestandard model is a bounded morphic image of the lexicographic product of two dense linear orders without endpoints

## Axiomatization/completeness

#### Pure future formulas: $\phi, \psi ::= p \mid \bot \mid \neg \phi \mid (\phi \lor \psi) \mid G_i \phi$

- There is no known complete axiomatization of the set of all valid pure future formulas
- ► The proper axioms of HTL(G<sub>1</sub>) are
  - F<sub>1</sub> ⊤
  - $F_1F_1p \to F_1p$
  - $F_1p \to F_1F_1p$
  - $F_1(G_1p \wedge F_1q) \rightarrow G_1(p \vee F_1q)$
- ► The proper axioms of HTL(G<sub>2</sub>) are
  - F<sub>2</sub>⊤
  - $\blacktriangleright F_2F_2p \to F_2p$
  - $F_2p \to F_2F_2p$
  - $\blacktriangleright F_2p \land F_2q \rightarrow F_2(p \land q) \lor F_2(p \land F_2q) \lor F_2(q \land F_2p)$

**Proposition:** The temporal logic *HTL* is

coNP-complete

Proof: By the mosaic method

Let  $\xi$  be a fixed formula and  $\Gamma$  be the least set of formulas closed under subformulas and such that

- ightharpoonup op op op op
- ▶  $\Diamond_2 \xi \in \Gamma$
- Γ is closed under single negations
- ▶ if either  $G_1\phi \in \Gamma$ , or  $H_1\phi \in \Gamma$ ,  $G_2\phi \in \Gamma$  and  $H_2\phi \in \Gamma$
- ▶ if either  $F_1\phi \in \Gamma$ , or  $P_1\phi \in \Gamma$ ,  $\Diamond_2\phi \in \Gamma$

A function  $\lambda$  with  $dom(\lambda) \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $ran(\lambda) \subseteq \{0,1\}$  is adequate iff

- $dom(\lambda)$  is closed under single negations
- ▶  $\bot \in dom(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda(\bot) = 0$
- if  $\neg \phi, \phi \in dom(\lambda), \lambda(\neg \phi) = 1 \lambda(\phi)$
- if  $\phi \lor \psi, \phi \in dom(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda(\phi \lor \psi) \ge \lambda(\phi)$
- if  $\phi \lor \psi, \psi \in dom(\lambda), \lambda(\phi \lor \psi) \ge \lambda(\psi)$
- ▶ if  $\phi \lor \psi, \phi, \psi \in dom(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda(\phi \lor \psi) = max\{\lambda(\phi), \lambda(\psi)\}$

A pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of adequate functions is  $G_i$ -coherent iff

• if 
$$\sigma(G_i\phi) = 1$$
,  $\tau(G_i\phi) = 1$  and  $\tau(\phi) = 1$ 

A pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of adequate functions is  $H_i$ -coherent iff

• if 
$$\tau(H_i\phi) = 1$$
,  $\sigma(H_i\phi) = 1$  and  $\sigma(\phi) = 1$ 

A 1-mosaic is a  $\{G_1, H_1\}$ -coherent pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of adequate functions such that

• if 
$$\sigma(G_1\phi) = 1$$
,  $\tau(G_2\phi) = 1$  and  $\tau(H_2\phi) = 1$ 

• if 
$$\tau(H_1\phi) = 1$$
,  $\sigma(G_2\phi) = 1$  and  $\sigma(H_2\phi) = 1$ 

A 2-mosaic is a  $\{G_2, H_2\}$ -coherent pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of adequate functions with domain  $\Gamma$  and such that



An 1-saturated set of mosaics (1-SSM) is a collection *M* of 1-mosaics such that

- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\tau, \mu) \in M$
- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\mu, \sigma) \in M$
- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\sigma(F_1\phi) = 1$  and  $\tau(F_1\phi) = \tau(\lozenge_2\phi) = 0$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\lozenge_2\phi) = 1$  and  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\tau(P_1\phi) = 1$  and  $\sigma(P_1\phi) = \sigma(\lozenge_2\phi) = 0$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\lozenge_2\phi) = 1$  and  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$  and  $\tau(F_1\phi) = 1$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\lozenge_2\phi) = 1$  and  $(\tau, \mu) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$  and  $\sigma(P_1\phi) = 1$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\lozenge_2\phi) = 1$  and  $(\mu, \sigma) \in M$



An 2-saturated set of mosaics (2-SSM) is a collection *M* of 2-mosaics such that

- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\tau, \mu) \in M$
- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\mu, \sigma) \in M$
- ▶ if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\sigma(F_2\phi) = 1$  and  $\tau(F_2\phi) = \tau(\phi) = 0$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\phi) = 1$  and  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\tau(P_2\phi) = 1$  and  $\sigma(P_2\phi) = \sigma(\phi) = 0$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\phi) = 1$  and  $(\sigma, \mu), (\mu, \tau) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$  and  $\tau(F_2\phi) = 1$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\phi) = 1$  and  $(\tau, \mu) \in M$
- if  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$  and  $\sigma(P_2\phi) = 1$ , there is  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\phi) = 1$  and  $(\mu, \sigma) \in M$



#### Given a 2-SSM M, we define a function $\lambda_M$ by

- $ightharpoonup \lambda_{M}(\phi) = 0$  if for all  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\sigma(\phi) = \tau(\phi) = 0$
- $ightharpoonup \lambda_M(\phi) = 1$  if for all  $(\sigma, \tau) \in M$ ,  $\sigma(\phi) = \tau(\phi) = 1$
- $\triangleright \lambda_M(\phi)$  is undefined otherwise

#### A 1-supermosaic is a pair (M, N) of 2-SSM such that

 $\triangleright$   $(\lambda_M, \lambda_N)$  is a 1-mosaic

A saturated set of 1-supermosaics (1-SSS) is a collection  $\Sigma$  of 1-supermosaics such that

▶  $\{(\lambda_M, \lambda_N) : (M, N) \in \Sigma\}$  is a 1-SSM



A 1-SSS for  $\xi$  is a 1-SSS  $\Sigma$  such that

▶ there is  $(M, N) \in \Sigma$  such that either  $\lambda_M(\Diamond_2 \xi) = 1$ , or  $\lambda_N(\Diamond_2 \xi) = 1$ 

**Proposition:** If there exists a 1-SSS for  $\xi$ ,  $\xi$  is satisfiable in  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \triangleright (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ 

**Proposition:** If  $\xi$  is satisfiable in  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \triangleright (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ , there exists a 1-SSS for  $\xi$  of cardinal bounded by  $(4 \times Card(\Gamma))^4$ 

**Proposition:** The temporal logic *HTL* is

coNP-complete



#### Conclusion

- Lexicographic products of unbounded dense linear orders
- First-order theory: HY
- ▶ Temporal logic: HTL
- Axiomatization/completeness
- Decidability/complexity

#### **Open problems**

The modal logics characterized by the lexicographic products of two given linear orderings among (Z, ≤), (Q, ≤) and (R, ≤) are all equal to S4.3 ▷ S4.3. HTL is the temporal logic characterized by (Q, <) ▷ (Q, <). What are the temporal logics characterized by, for example, (Z, <) ▷ (Z, <) and (R, <) ▷ (R, <)?</li>

#### **Open problems**

2. The formation of fusion has nice features, seeing that, for instance, Kripke completeness and decidability of modal logics  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are transferred to their fusion  $L_1 \otimes L_2$ . Could transfer results similar to the ones obtained by Kracht and Wolter (1991) be obtained in our lexicographic setting?

#### Open problems

3. All extensions of S4.3, as proved by Bull (1966), possess the finite model property. All finitely axiomatizable normal extensions of K4.3, as proved by Zakharyaschev and Alekseev (1995), are decidable. Is it possible to obtain similar results in our lexicographic setting? Or could undecidability results similar to the ones obtained by Reynolds and Zakharyaschev (2001) within the context of the asynchronous products of the modal logics determined by arbitrarily long linear orders be obtained in our lexicographic setting?

#### **Open problems**

4. There is also the question of associating with  $<_1$  and  $<_2$  the until-like connectives  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  and the since-like connectives  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , the formulas  $\phi U_1 \psi$ ,  $\phi U_2 \psi$ ,  $\phi S_1 \psi$  and  $\phi S_2 \psi$  being read as one reads the formulas  $\phi U \psi$  and  $\phi S \psi$  in classical temporal logic, this time with  $<_1$  and  $<_2$ . As yet, nothing has been done concerning the issues of axiomatization/completeness and decidability/complexity these new temporal connectives give rise to.